

## STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

www.jud.state.ct.us

Second Floor -- Suite Two
287 Main Street, East Hartford, Connecticut 06118-1885

Attorney Patricia King Assistant Disciplinary Counsel 80 Washington Street Hartford, CT 06106 Attorney Steven G. M. Biro 291 Vine Road Stamford, CT 06905

RE: Grievance Complaint #04-0948, Pinzon v. Biro

Dear Assistant Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent:

Pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(a), the undersigned, duly-appointed reviewing committee of the Statewide Grievance Committee, has reviewed the Conditional Admission and Agreement as to Discipline (hereinafter "Conditional Admission") filed April 5, 2005 and submitted for approval in the above referenced matter. After careful consideration of the Conditional Admission, the Affidavit of the Respondent submitted pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(c) and the entire record of the complaint, and after conducting a hearing pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(a) on April 5, 2005, the undersigned hereby APPROVE the Conditional Admission, a copy of which is attached hereto together with the Affidavit of the Respondent. Accordingly, the disposition agreed to by the Assistant Disciplinary Counsel and the Respondent in the above referenced matter and set forth in the Conditional Admission is hereby made an order of this reviewing committee. The Respondent is reprimanded.

Reviewing committee member Attorney David Channing was not available for the April 5, 2005 hearing. The Assistant Disciplinary Counsel and the Respondent waived the participation of Attorney Channing in the consideration and decision of the *Conditional Admission*. Accordingly, the matter was considered and decided by the undersigned.

So ordered.

cc: Georgina R. Pinzon
Attorney Stephen J. Conover

DECISION DATE: 51305

(5)

Grievance Complaint #04-0948 Decision Page 2

Attorney Margarita Moore

Grievance Complaint #04-0948 Decision Page 3

Mr. William J. Carroll

GRIEVANCE NO. 04-0990 Georgina Rosos Pingun KOBE. . .

Complainant

SUPERIOR COURT

J. D. OF WATERBURY

3.

Vs. Steven Bird

AT WATERBURY

**APRIL 5, 2004** 

Respondent

## CONDITIONAL ADMISSION AND AGREEMENT AS TO DISCIPLINE

Pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82(a), the undersigned Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel stipulate and agree as follows:

This matter was instituted by grievance complaint filed by the Complainant 1. Statewide Grievance Committee on March 3, 2004.

On June 16, 2004 the local Grievance Panel for the Waterbury Judicial District 2. 1.4,3.2 and found probable cause that the Respondent had violated Rules 1.15 and 8.1 of the Rules of Professional Conduct and Section 2-27 of the Connecticut Practice 8.4(3) Book arising from his failure to diligently represent the Complainant in a civil case.

The Respondent has tendered a conditional admission of fact in accordance with his affidavit attached hereto, admitting the material facts of the complaint.

- The Conditional Admission admits the conduct in question and also admits that 4. his conduct constituted a breach of the Rules of Professional Conduct and the Connecticut Practice Book
- 5. Respondent has one other disciplinary matter pending before the court. In -Docket No. CV-04-0184655, a presentment filed on April 26, 2004, which is Judicial District of Waterbury.
- Respondent has been admitted to practice since 1968. 6.

- 7. Disciplinary Counsel has agreed to recommend to the court that the matter be resolved with some form of discipline beyond the authority of the Statewide Grievance Committee. 155Me a ruprimmed for a VID latim of Kull 1.4 (a),
- 8. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel agree that nothing in this Conditional Admission shall be construed to limit the Respondent's right to present evidence or argument of explanation or mitigation on the issue of the form of discipline to be imposed by the court.

9. A copy of the Conditional Admission and Affidavit has been sent to the Complainant, Robert Maringola.

Georgina Rosas Vinem.

-WHEREFORE, this matter is submitted to the count for its approval in accordance with Practice Book § 2-82 (a).

Statewide Trievanu Cummittee

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

915/05 Date By:

Patricia King

**Assistant Disciplinary Counsel** 

Respondent .ca.

15/05

By:

0948

**GRIEVANCE NO. 04-0690** 

**SUPERIOR COURT** 

**DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL** 

Complainant

J. D. OF WATERBURY

V. Steven Biro

AT WATERBURY

:

**APRIL 5, 2005** 

Respondent

**AFFIDAVIT OF RESPONDENT** 

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

COUNTY OF

 $\langle 5A\rho r, 1 \rangle$  ,2005

I am over the age of 18 and believe in the obligation of an oath. Pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(a), I state as follows:

- 1. I hereby acknowledge that discipline beyond the authority of the Statewide Grievance Committee is the form of discipline recommended by the Disciplinary Counsel as described in the attached Conditional Admission in connection with Grievance Complaint No. 04-0690- のイーのタイト
- 2. I am aware that I have a right to a full evidentiary hearing on this complaint and I hereby waive those rights by entering into the attached Agreement.
- 3. I have not been subject to either coercion or duress, I am fully aware of the implications of this Affidavit and the Conditional Admission. My conditional admission and consent to the form of recommended discipline are knowingly, freely and voluntarily submitted.
- 4. I am aware that there is presently pending Grievance No. 04-0690, a disciplinary proceeding in which probable cause was found, and I agree that there are grounds for professional discipline based on my failure to diligently defend a civil case and my failure to advise my client as to the status of the case.
- 5. I acknowledge that the material facts set forth in the probable cause notice are true, and that these facts are sufficient to prove by clear and convincing evidence that I violated Rules 13 and 1.4 (a) and (b) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

6. I make this admission freely and voluntarily, and with the assistance of counsel.

7. I understand that the Disciplinary Counsel intends to seek a form of discipline beyond the authority of the Statewide Grievance Committee. Or der a reprimer d.

8. I further understand and agree with the Disciplinary Counsel that nothing in this Affidavit or Conditional Admission shall limit my right to present any argument regarding explanation or mitigation with regard to the form of discipline to be imposed by the court.

9. The foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Steven 6,M Biro

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $\sum day$  of  $\frac{2pr}{2}$ , 2005.

Commissioner of the Superior Court

Notary Public